NOSB RECOMMENDED DECISION FORM

Form NOPLIST2. Full Board Transmittal to NOP

For NOSB Meeting: November 2008	Substanc	e: Orange Pulp, Dried					
A. Evaluation Criteria (Applicability noted for each category	; Documentation attached	· -					
Impact on Humans and Environment		Yes X No □ N/A □					
2. Essential & Availability Criteria	Yes X No □ N/A □						
3. Compatibility & Consistency	Yes X No □ N/A □						
4. Commercial Supply is Fragile or Potentially Unavailab							
B. Substance fails criteria?	C. Proposed Annotation	on: none					
Criteria category:	Basis for annotation:						
Comments:	To meet criteria above:	Criteria:					
	Other regulatory criteria:	Citation:					
D. Final Board Action & Vote (State Actual Motion): To ad substances allowed as ingredients in or on processed product Motion: Julie Weisman Second: Joe Smillie		'made with organic (specified ingredients or food group(s))."					
Agricultural	Nonogripultural	Crops					
Agricultural X	Nonagricultural	Crops					
Synthetic 1	Not synthetic	Livestock Handling X					
Allowed	Prohibited	Handling X					
No restriction	Deferred4	Rejected					
A petition for the addition of Orange Pulp, Dried to the National List of Allowed Substances was received for review by the Handling Committee of the NOSB in the spring of 2008. Orange Pulp, Dried is produced from the byproduct remaining after the extraction of raw oranges for orange juice production. Most of the pulp generated by orange juice processing is recombined into the finished juice, but a relatively small amount remains that is not put back into product. This remaining material is washed, sorted, milled, and dried, then packaged for use by the food processing industry as a stabilizer or thickener in a variety of processed organic and conventional products. Although the material met the requirements of Categories 1, 2, and 3 of the substance evaluation criteria for consideration for listing, the Handling Committee originally voted unanimously to not recommend listing of this material due to failure to meet the requirements of Category 4 of the evaluation criteria, specifically because the petitioner did not provide persuasive information as to the lack of availability of organic orange pulp that could be used to produce an organic form of the dry ingredient. The Handling Committee recommendation to not list was posted on the NOSB website prior to the November 2008 NOSB meeting. A representative of the petitioning company, Fiberstar, attended the November 2008 NOSB meeting and presented information and documentation to the full board explaining the supply inadequacy of organic orange pulp to manufacture an organic form of the dry ingredient to fulfill industry needs. Many questions were asked by board members to explore the organic orange pulp supply issue. The explanation was compelling. The Handling Committee subsequently reconsidered it's recommendation based on the new information, and voted to recommend listing of the material to 205.606. This material could potentially replace non-agricultural 205.605 materials currently listed that perform like functions in processed organic foods. It should a							
—substance to be added to "prohibited" paragraph of National List to § 205Describe why a prohibited substance:							
3—substance was rejected by vote for amending National Lis	t to § 205 Descr	be why material was rejected:					

4-substance was recommended to be deferred § 205follow-up	_	If follow-up needed, who conducts
E. Approved by NOSB Chair to transmit to NOP		
Rigoberto I. Delgado	December 12, 2008 Date	<u>.</u>
F. NOP Action: Include in FR to amend National List:		
Return to NOSB Reason:		
	Date	

NOSB COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Form NOPLIST1. Committee Transmittal to NOSB

For NOSB Meeting: Novem	ber 2008							Substance:	Orange Pulp, dried
Committee: Crops Livestock Handling X Petition is for: Inclusion of Orange Pulp, Dried on the National List § 205.606									
A. Evaluation Criteria (Applicability noted for each category; Documentation attached) 4. Impact on Humans and Environment 5. Essential & Availability Criteria 6. Compatibility & Consistency 7. Commercial Supply is Fragile or Potentially Unavailable as Organic (only for 606) B. Substance Fails Criteria Category: C. Proposed Annotation (if any): none									
Basis for annotation: To meet	criteria above:	_ C	Other regulatory crite	ria:		Citation:			
D. Recommended Committee Action & Vote (State Actual Motion): Recommend Orange Pulp, Dried for listing on §205.606 Motion by: Steve DeMuri Seconded: Gerry Davis Yes:- 5 No - 0 Absent: - 1 Abstain: - 0									
	Crops	Agri	cultural	Χ	Allowed ¹)	(
	Livestock	Non	-Synthetic		Prohibited	l ²			
	Handling X		thetic		Rejected ³				
	No restriction		nmercially Un- ilable as Organic ¹		Deferred ⁴				
1) Substance voted to be added as "allowed" on National List to § 205.606 with Annotation (if any) - no annotation									
2) Substance to be added as "prohibited" on National List to § 205 with Annotation (if any)									
Describe why a prohibited substance:									
, ,	3) Substance was rejected by vote for amending National List to § 205. 606								
4) Substance was recommended to be deferred because									
If follow-up needed, who will									
follow up									
E. Approved by Committee Chair to transmit to NOSB:									

NOSB EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SUBSTANCES ADDED TO THE NATIONAL LIST

Category 1. Adverse impacts on humans or the environment? Substance - Orange Pulp, dried

Category 1. Adverse impacts on h	umans	or the	environ	
Question	Yes	No	N/A ¹	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
Are there adverse effects on			X	According to the petitioner, the substance is produced from
			^	
environment from manufacture,				orange pulp by washing with water, stabilizing with heat,
use, or disposal?				dewatering, mixing, drying, and grinding. No chemicals are
[§205.600 b.2]				used in the production process, only water.
2. Is there environmental		Χ		See number 1 above.
contamination during manufacture,				
use, misuse, or disposal? [§6518				
m.3]				
3. Is the substance harmful to the		X		Material is a component of an agricultural product
environment?				
[§6517c(1)(A)(i);6517(c)(2)(A)i]				
4. Does the substance contain List		Χ		No, not according to the petitioner.
1, 2, or 3 inerts?				·
[§6517 c (1)(B)(ii); 205.601(m)2]				
5. Is there potential for detrimental		Х		This is an agricultural product
chemical interaction with other				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
materials used?				
[§6518 m.1]				
6. Are there adverse biological and		Χ		This is an agricultural product
chemical interactions in agro-				This is an agricultural product
ecosystem? [§6518 m.5]				
7. Are there detrimental		Х		This is an agricultural product
		^		This is an agricultural product
physiological effects on soil				
organisms, crops, or livestock?				
[§6518 m.5]				
8. Is there a toxic or other adverse		Χ		This is an agricultural product
action of the material or its				
breakdown products?				
[§6518 m.2]				
9. Is there undesirable persistence		X		This is an agricultural product
or concentration of the material or				
breakdown products in				
environment?[§6518 m.2]				
10. Is there any harmful effect on	_ <u></u>	Х		According to page 4 of the petition, dried orange pulp is
human health?				GRAS when used in food in accordance with good
[§6517 c (1)(A)(i) ; 6517 c(2)(A)i;				manufacturing practices, and there are no known adverse
§6518 m.4]				reactions related to dried orange pulp.
11. Is there an adverse effect on			Х	
human health as defined by				
applicable Federal regulations?				
[205.600 b.3]				
12. Is the substance GRAS when			Х	
used according to FDA's good			•	
manufacturing practices?				
[§205.600 b.5]				
13. Does the substance contain	-		Х	
			^	
residues of heavy metals or other				
contaminants in excess of FDA				
tolerances? [§205.600 b.5]				

¹If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

Category 2. Is the Substance Essential for Organic Production? Substance - Orange Pulp, dried

Question	Yes	No	N/A ¹	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Is the substance formulated or manufactured by a chemical process? [6502 (21)]			X	According to the manufacturing description on page 1 of the petition, the petitioned substance is produced from orange pulp by washing with water, stabilizing with heat, dewatering, mixing, drying, and grinding. No chemicals are used in the production process, only water.
2. Is the substance formulated or manufactured by a process that chemically changes a substance extracted from naturally occurring plant, animal, or mineral, sources? [6502 (21)]			X	See above
3. Is the substance created by naturally occurring biological processes? [6502 (21)]			Х	This is an agricultural product
4. Is there a natural source of the substance? [§205.600 b.1]			Х	This is an agricultural product
5. Is there an organic substitute? [§205.600 b.1]			Х	
6. Is the substance essential for handling of organically produced agricultural products? [§205.600 b.6]			X	
7. Is there a wholly natural substitute product? [§6517 c (1)(A)(ii)]		Х		This is an agricultural product
8. Is the substance used in handling, not synthetic, but not organically produced? [§6517 c (1)(B)(iii)]	Х			
9. Is there any alternative substances? [§6518 m.6]		Х		
10. Is there another practice that would make the substance unnecessary? [\$6518 m.6]		Х		

unnecessary? [§6518 m.6] If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

Category 3. Is the substance compatible with organic production practices? Substance: Orange Pulp, dried

Question	Yes	No	N/A ¹	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Is the substance compatible with organic handling? [§205.600 b.2]			Х	
2. Is the substance consistent with organic farming and handling? [§6517 c (1)(A)(iii); 6517 c (2)(A)(ii)]		X		Material is being petitioned for inclusion on §205.606.
3. Is the substance compatible with a system of sustainable agriculture? [§6518 m.7]		Х		Material is being petitioned for inclusion on §205.606.
4. Is the nutritional quality of the food maintained with the substance? [§205.600 b.3]			Х	
5. Is the primary use as a preservative? [§205.600 b.4]			Х	
6. Is the primary use to recreate or improve flavors, colors, textures, or nutritive values lost in processing (except when required by law, e.g., vitamin D in milk)? [205.600 b.4]			Х	According to page 1 of the petition, dried orange pulp is currently used as a moisture retention agent and fat substitute in baked goods, pastas, salad dressings, confectionery, processed cheese spreads, and frozen food entrees. It may also be used as flavor enhancing agent in non-carbonated beverages and fruit drinks.
7. Is the substance used in production, and does it contain an active synthetic ingredient in the following categories: a. copper and sulfur compounds;		Х		
b. toxins derived from bacteria;		Х		
c. pheromones, soaps, horticultural oils, fish emulsions, treated seed, vitamins and minerals?		Х		
d. livestock parasiticides and medicines?		Х		
e. production aids including netting, tree wraps and seals, insect traps, sticky barriers, row covers, and equipment cleaners?		Х		

If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

Category 4. Is the commercial supply of an agricultural substance as organic, fragile or potentially unavailable? [§6610, 6518, 6519, 205.2, 205.105 (d), 205.600 (c) 205.2, 205.105 (d), 205.600 (c)]

Substance - Orange Pulp, dried

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comments on Information Provided (sufficient, plausible, reasonable, thorough, complete, unknown)
Is the comparative description provided as to why the non-organic form of the material /substance is	Х			In oral presentation at the Fall 2008 meeting, petitioner cites use of this material is potentially as a replacement for materials currently on 205.605 (emulsifiers, thickeners).
necessary for use in organic handling? 2. Does the current and historical industry information, research, or evidence provided explain how or why the material /substance cannot be obtained organically in the appropriate form to fulfill an essential function in a system of organic handling?	X			In oral presentation at Fall 2008 meeting, petitioner described difficulties in obtaining sufficient quantities of raw material in reasonable proximity to a processing facility. Most organic orange juice pulp goes back into orange juice production, and is not available for this use.
3. Does the current and historical industry information, research, or evidence provided explain how or why the material /substance cannot be obtained organically in the appropriate quality to fulfill an essential function in a system of organic handling?	Х			See above
4. Does the current and historical industry information, research, or evidence provided explain how or why the material /substance cannot be obtained organically in the appropriate quantity to fulfill an essential function in a system of organic handling?	X			See comment 2 above. In oral presentation, petitioner described there is a 20:1 raw pulp to finished dry pulp ratio.
5. Does the industry information provided on material / substance non-availability as organic, include (but not limited to) the following: a. Regions of production (including factors such as climate and number of regions);	Х			
b. Number of suppliers and amount produced;	Х			6,000 acres of organic oranges are located in Florida alone. Number of suppliers or other regions not discussed. In oral presentation, petitioner clarified that unless raw pulp is available adjacent to processing it deteriorates too quickly to be used to produce dry pulp.
c. Current and historical supplies related to weather events such as hurricanes, floods, and droughts that may temporarily halt production or destroy crops or supplies;		X		No information provided regarding these factors.
d. Trade-related issues such as evidence of hoarding, war, trade barriers, or civil unrest that may temporarily restrict supplies; or		Х		No information provided regarding these factors.
e. Are there other issues which may present a challenge to a consistent supply?		Х		Other than cost implications, no other factors discussed.